## APPENDIX VI

COMPARISON OF SAMPLES WITH VISIBLE GOLD

## Comparison of Assay Results With Samples Containing Visible Gold

## Assay Procedure

After rolling and homogenizing the whole sample of crushed material, a 500 gram subsample was obtained using a Jones riffle sampler. This subsample was pulverized and screened to -150 mesh and the +150 mesh material was examined for metallics. If no metallics were recovered, a one assayton (29.167 grams) portion of the -150 mesh fraction was assayed for gold by conventional gravimetric fire assay.

If metallics were recovered in the +150 mesh fraction, they were weighed and assayed separately for gold. The final gold assay reported was a total of the two values, taking into account the weight of the metallics

recovered.

## Discussion

Underground diamond drilling resulted in 86 samples from 36 holes which contained visible gold. Quite often it was found that the assay results of these samples (derived by Barringer Magenta Laboratories (Alberta) Ltd.) were lower than that which was to be expected from the amount of visible gold present. Occasionally, the assay was higher than expected. It was assumed that these discrepancies were due to the small sample split (500 grams) which was pulverized and screened to -150 mesh.

For these reasons, it was felt that a more representative result would be obtained if the entire sample was pulverized and screened to -150 mesh; subsequently, the rejects were sent to Chemex Laboratories Ltd. for this treatment.

A simple method of comparison between the two results was devised whereas the grades from each laboratory were summed and the percentage difference calculated using the formula:

(Chemex total gold - Barringer total gold) / (Barringer total gold)

The results, for several populations, are as follows:

Total Samples (86): (44.394 - 38.349) / 38.349 = 15%

Samples between 0.1 oz/ton and 1.0 oz/ton (32): (14.377 - 9.765) / 9.765 = 47%

Samples above 1.0 oz/ton (10): (25.223 - 26.991) / 26.991 = -6.5%

It is important to note that within the most significant subset, as far as the Gordon Lake Deposit is concerned, the Chemex results are almost 50% higher than those from Barringer. It is therefore recommended that future assay techniques include pulverizing and screening the entire sample in order to obtain a more representative result.

Following is a list of the samples submitted to Chemex:

Sample Number	<u>DDH</u>	<u>Interval</u>	<u>Visible</u> Gold	Barringer Assay	Chemex
J.	•. · · · ·		<u>0010</u>	ASSAY	<u>Assay</u>
40923	U861B-08	4.7-7.6	B1,Z5	.0013	-060
40949 40964	U861BC-12	55.8-58.3 93.0-95.5	Zl Zl	.036 L.005	.020 .006
40985 40987 41001 41002 41004 ≊1007	U861C-15	29.3-31.8 34.3-36.8 67.5-70.0 70.0-72.5 75.0-77.5 82.5-85.0	Z1 Z2 B2,Z3 Z1 Z1 Z1	.03 .059 .21 .08 .06	.074 .034 .304 .098 .068
41057 41061 41074 41076	U861CD-20	60.2-62.7 70.2-72.7 102.0-105.2 107.7-110.2	Z1 Z1 Z1 Z1	.27 L.005 .12 .21	.022 .10 .137 .474
20504	· 'U861H-23	22.5-25.0	B6	.058	.041
20512	U861H-25	12.0-14.7	B1	.021	.114
20548	U861GH-28	15.9-17.4	B3,Z5	.005	.006
20584	U861G-31	78.3-79.8	<b>Z</b> 3	.599	2.848
20588	U861G-32	12.9-15.4	B6,25	3.051	4.980
20623 20624	U861F-35	18.6-21.1 21.1-23.6	B1,23 B1	L.005 .304	.028 .025
20646 20648	U861F-36	15.2-17.7 20.0-22.0	· Z1 . Z1	.12 .036	.090 .050
20661	U861F-37	10.9-13.4	Z3	.01	.046
20690 20698 20700 20701	U861F-38	15.3-17.2 31.8-34.3 36.8-39.3 39.3-42.3	Z2 Z11 . Z1 Z2	.049 .783 1.119 .025	.098 .208 1.366 .066
20732 20733	U861E-40	28.9-31.4 31.4-33.9	B2,Z1 B1	.596 .051	.361 .086
20742 20743 20744 20745	U861E-41	32.0-34.5 34.5-37.0 37.0-39.5 39.5-42.0	Z1 Z1 B2 Z1	1.798 .21 .14 .041	.631 .584 .326

		$\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_{i,i}, \qquad \qquad V_{i,i}.$	1. No. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			
						•
	mple <u>DDH</u> mber	Interval	Visibl Gold	<u>Barring</u> Assay	ger Chemex Assay	
20 20 20	798 U861DE 799 801 807 813	14.3-16.8 16.8-19.3 21.8-24.3 35.7-37.9 50.1-52.6	Z3 Z1 Z <sup>1</sup> 2	.739 .33 .022 .058 .12	.490 .200 .050 .044 .411	
20	860 U861D-	48 7.5–10.0	B1,Z2	.051	.573	
20	926 U861GH	-50 84.2-86.7	<b>Z</b> 1	. 30	.476	
	942 U861GH 945	-51 48.0-50.5 56.0-58.5		.03 .045	.020	
	966 U861G- 985	52 40.9-43.4 105.2-108		.085 1.492	.044 2.993	
	989 · U861G- 003	53 2.0-3.9 48.2-49.9	B2 Z2	.27 .09	.483 .034	
	015 U861G- 022	54 34.8–37.3 52.3–54.9	Z3 Z2	1.352 .28	.004	
21( 21(	042 U861F- 057 062 066	33.7-35.7 71.2-73.7 84.7-87.2 94.2-96.1	Z1 Z1 B1 Z2	.029 .09 .058 .60	.026 .024 .177 .780	
21	078 U861F- 100 111	23.1-26.0 77.2-79.6 126.0-128		.21 1.357 .065	.463 1.380 .118	•
21.	138 U861E-	76.0–78.5	<b>Z4</b>	.13	.182	
21: 21:	142 U861DE 162 173 176	-58 11.4-13.9 57.2-59.0 82.0-84.5 96.7-99.7	B1(?) B1 Z2 B1,Z1	.19 .15 .539 1.3	.056 .491 .298 .830	
212 212 212	199 U861DE- 200 204 212 221	50.6-53.1 53.1-55.6 62.0-64.5 79.9-82.3 101.9-103	B1,Z1 Z1	1.243 .416 .24 .035 .011	2.847 1.225 .457 .022 1.119	·

• • • • • • • • •					
<u>Sample</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>DDH</u>	<u>Interval</u>	<u>Visible</u> <u>Gold</u>	Barringer Assay	<u>Chemex</u> <u>Assay</u>
			ā		
21253	U861CD-60	91.9-94.4	Z1	.02	.014
21258		105.3-107.8	Z2	.048	.056
21260		110.6-112.9	B1	.026	.084
21261		112.9-115.4	B1	.023	.068
21271	U861CD-61	37.8-40.4	Z3	L.005	.004
21272		40.4-42.6	B4,Z18	12.669	9.577
21273		42.6-45.1	Z7	1.29	1.570
21274		45.1-47.8	Z1	.12	.145
21332	U861CD-62	72.2-74.7	B1,Z3	.13	.560
21348		119.6-121.1	B1	.15	.276
21365	U861C-63	45.5-48.0	Z1	.045	.086
21389		117.0-119.5	Z1	.018	.043
21410	U861C-64	71.2-73.7	Z1	.03	.024
21411		73.7-76.2	Z1	.036	.042
21429	U861BC-65	24.0-24.5	Z2	.14	<b>-</b>
21448	U861BC-66	105.5-107.5	Z1	.22	1.194
21460		136.3-137.8	B1,Z4	.37	.278
21498	U861AB-68	107.1-110.2	<b>Z4</b>	.579	.382
21536	U861A-70	89.5–92.0	Z2	.14	.176
21541		100.9–103.9	Z15-20	.29	.564
21542		103.9–107.2	B2,Z1	1.61	.637

•